



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,239	09/30/2003	Masashi Morioka	243403US8	5391
22850	7590	04/26/2011		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER JOHNS, CHRISTOPHER C	
			ART UNIT 3621	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 04/26/2011	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com

oblonpat@oblon.com

jgardner@oblon.com

<p>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>		<p>Application No.</p> <p>10/673,239</p>	<p>Applicant(s)</p> <p>MORIOKA ET AL.</p>
		<p>Examiner</p> <p>CHRISTOPHER C. JOHNS</p>	<p>Art Unit</p> <p>3621</p>

All Participants:

(1) CHRISTOPHER C. JOHNS

(2) Andrew T Harry

Date of Interview: 19 April 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Abandoned

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 9:30 AM EST

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Christopher C. Johns/
Examiner, Art Unit 3621

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner telephoned Applicants' representative (Mr. Harry) to determine if a response had been filed to the Non-Final Rejection of 15 October 2010, because the 6-month date had passed on 15 April 2010.

Examiner reached Mr. Harry's receptionist who noted that she would pass the message along to Mr. Harry. In response, Nancy Connell returned the phonecall around 2:30 PM EST, noting that the clients had chosen to abandon the application.